Moral Deliberation within FJCs

by Julien Topal EFJCA International Conference 16-11-2018

A 'moral' look at the FJC's work The people at FJCs do heroic work situations with high stakes; vulnerable stakeholders; Need for courage/no fear for decision-making A lot at stake for many The victim/survivor Those working at FJCs Society at large GOVERNANCE & INTEGRITY

Practical challenges servicing victim/survivor

GOVERNANCE & INTEGRITY

GOVERNANCE & INTEGRITY

For each individual: risk of moral stress/injury

- > For FJCs: Mission drift/overdrive
- For FJCs and individuals alike: moral frustration (with government, society ...)
- > Within FJCs: no 'shared language' to talk about victims/perpetrators

On the necessity of moral deliberation

- In general, moral deliberation serves two goals:
- To strengthen individual judgment ('moral deliberation is a skill')
 Enhance the learning capability of an organization ('a practice of deliberation is needed')
- Specifically, within FJCs it serves two more goals
- Providing a coping-mechanism for individuals ('by sharing and unpacking the weight of the case and potential culpability') Providing a shared language to enable the victim-centered, survivor-driven focus in a multidisciplinary context

GOVERNANCE & INTEGRIT

How to organize moral deliberation?

First and foremost: repetition!! (man, a creature of habit...)

- A measure by which to decide the morally right choice Cannot be subjective ('my opinion... Norms&Values...') Has to be acceptable by all as the measure Has to be critical
- A method that guides you through your investigation
 Specifies the primary moral question on the table
 Allows feelings and intuitions to be uttered
 Enables a, shared, investigative stance within the people at the table
 A procedure that ensures a careful investigation
 A means to decide in an unambiguous manner between the two competing options on the table

A measure to decide on the morally right

'Doing right by the Other'

'Sufficiently take into account the rights, interests and wishes of all involved'

(in this concrete situation)

GOVERNANCE & INTEGRITY

The method

- 1) What decision am I faced with? > What option do I choose intuitively and what is the main objection against this choice? 2) Whose involved in my decision?
- 3) Who is deciding?
- 4) What information do I need to make my decision in a responsible manner?
- 5) What arguments speak in a favour of both options?
- 6) What is my final conclusion?
 > Additional step: damage control
- 7) How do I feel about the judgment I arrive at?
- GOVERNANCE & INTEGRITY

GOVERNANCE & INTEGRITY